

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-15 and 31 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2 and 5 are amended, claim 31 is added, and claims 16-30 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter is added by these amendments. Reconsideration based on the amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

**I. Claims Define Allowable Subject Matter**

The Office Action rejects claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 14-20, 22, 23, 27, 29 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Shigeta (U.S. Application Publication No. 2001/0050679). The Office Action rejects claims 6, 10, 11, 25 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeta in view of Matsumoto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,473,088). The Office Action rejects claims 9 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeta. The Office Action rejects claims 13 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeta in view of Mondal (U.S. Application Publication No. 2003/0110244). The rejections are respectfully traversed.

The Office Action asserts that Shigeta teaches, *inter alia*, a network interactive display device as presently claimed. However, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as amended obviate the rejections. Specifically, Shigeta does not teach a projector that receives terminal information including a screen size of a terminal display from each terminal and determines that the display size of the window assigned to each terminal on the basis of the received screen size. Instead, Shigeta teaches an image display device that receives image signals and audio signals by digital signals to and from terminals. Shigeta teaches the terminals acquiring from the image display device the screen size and the terminal converting the image into the respective resolution and outputting the image accordingly. However, the presently claimed combination of features discloses an arrangement whereby the projector

receives terminal information including a screen size of a terminal display from each terminal, thus the terminal dictates the screen size rather than the projector.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as amended and claims depending therefrom obviate the rejections and are distinguishable from the asserted art of record. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

**II. Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the claims are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Linda M. Saltiel  
Registration No. 51,122

JAÖ:LMS/sqb

*For March 2  
Reg. No. 38,560*

Attachment:

Petition for Extension of Time

Date: March 2, 2007

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<b>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION</b> Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461
--